1

2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA \* \* \* 6 7 FLEMMING KRISTENSEN, Case No. 2:12-cv-00528-APG-PAL 8 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 9 (Mtn to Seal – Dkt. #245) v. 10 CREDIT PAYMENT SERVICES, INC., et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 This matter is before the court on Defendant LeadPile LLC's Motion for Leave to File Documents Under Seal (Dkt. #245). No response to the Motion was filed, and the time for filing 14 one has now run. The court has considered the Motion. 15 LeadPile seeks an order pursuant to LR 10-5(b) for leave to file the following documents 16 that were designated confidential or attorney's eyes only pursuant to the terms of the Protective 17 18 Orders (Dkt. ##32, 145) under seal: 19 Exhibit A to the Declaration of K. Chris Colling in Support of LeadPile's Motion for Terminating Sanctions (Dkt. #241), which contains deposition transcript excerpts from 20 the deposition of James Gee, taken January 23, 2014. LeadPile represents the deposition 21 22 transcript was designated confidential under the Protective Orders. Exhibit B to the Collins Declaration, which contains deposition transcript excerpts from 23 the deposition of Michael Ferry, taken January 10, 2014. LeadPile represents the 24 deposition transcript was designated confidential under the Protective Orders. 25 26 Exhibit C to the Collins Declaration, which contains email correspondence between AC 27 Referral, 360 Date Management, and ClickMedia, dated April 2, 2014. LeadPile 28 represents the correspondence was designated confidential under the Protective Orders.

2 3 4

1

6 7

5

9

8

11

10

- Exhibit D to the Collins Declaration, which contains deposition transcript excerpts from the deposition of Plaintiff Flemming Kristensen, taken January 21, 2014. LeadPile represents the deposition transcript was designated confidential under the Protective Orders.
- Exhibit E to the Collins Declaration, which contains Edelson's Client Retainer Agreement/Engagement Letter with Plaintiff, dated February 9, 2012. represents this document was designated confidential under the Protective Orders.
- Exhibit F to the Collins Declaration, which contains Plaintiff's purchase order receipt for the purchase of a new hard drive, dated February 17, 2012. LeadPile represents the purchase order was designated confidential under the Protective Orders.

As an initial matter, LeadPile's reliance on the Protective Orders is misplaced. Protective Orders provide that their purpose is to facilitate discovery exchanges. See Protective Orders (Dkt. ##32, 145). The parties did not show, and the court did not find, that any specific documents were secret or confidential. In addition, LeadPile's conclusory assertion that the exhibits contain "confidential or proprietary business information or private, personal information" is insufficient to meet its burden of making a particularized showing of good cause for each item it seeks to file under seal. See generally Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006). A movant must make the required particularized showing for each document it seeks to seal by showing that "specific prejudice or harm will result." See, e.g., San Jose Mercury News, Inc. v. U.S. Dist. Court, 187 F.3d 1096, 1103 (9th Cir. 1999). "Broad allegations of harm, unsubstantiated by specific examples or articulated reasoning do not satisfy the Rule 26(c) test." Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1130 (9th Cir. 2003) (citing Beckman Ind., Inc. v. Internat'l Ins. Co., 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992)). The court appreciates that LeadPile filed the Motion to Seal to comply with its obligations under the Protective Orders, but it has not asserted or shown any specific harm or prejudice that will result from disclosure of any particular document it seeks to seal.

Accordingly,

28 ///

22

23

24

25

26

27

## 

## IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. LeadPile LLC's Motion to Seal (Dkt. #245) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.
- 2. The party/parties who designated the documents attached as Exhibits A-F to the Collins Declaration shall have until **November 26, 2014,** to file a Memorandum of Points and Authorities and any supporting declaration or affidavit to make a particularized showing of good cause why these individual Exhibits should remain under seal.
- 3. Exhibits A-F shall remain under seal until **November 26, 2014.** If any party who designated a particular document as confidential under the Protective Order fails to timely comply with this order, the Clerk of Court is directed to unseal that Exhibit and make it available on the public docket.

Dated this 12th day of November, 2014.

PEGGY A. ZEEN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE